1		Judge Robert S. Lasnik
2		
3		
4		
5	LIMITED OT ATEC	DICTRICT COLUDT
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	
7		
8	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) NO. CR 15-00042RSL
9	Plaintiff,	ORDER GRANTING UNOPPOSED
0	VS.) MOTION TO CONTINUE TRIAL AND) PRETRIAL MOTIONS DUE DATE
1	DONALD D. HOPFE,))
12	Defendant.))
13)
4	THE COURT, having considered the unopposed motion by the defendant to	
15	continue the trial and the pretrial motions due dates, and the records and files herein,	
16	hereby makes the following findings:	
17		
18	1. Based on the facts set forth in the	e defendant's unopposed motion, and in
19	particular the time needed by defense counsel to review the forensic analysis of Mr.	
20	Hopfe's computer and other media from his home, the Court finds that a failure to grant	
21	the continuance would deny counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective	
22		
23	preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, within the meaning of 18	
24	U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	
25		
26	ORDER GRANTING UNOPPOSED MOTION TO CONTINUE TRIAL AND PRETRIAL MOTIONS DUE DATE - 1	FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER 1601 Fifth Avenue, Suite 700 Seattle, Washington 98101 (206) 553-1100

CONTINUE TRIAL AND PRETRIAL MOTIONS

United States v. Hopfe / CR 15-00042RSL

DUE DATE - 2

FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER 1601 Fifth Avenue, Suite 700 Seattle, Washington 98101 (206) 553-1100